-systems.com -systems.com	P De	dicated Systems • Experts	RTOS Evaluation Project					
dicated	Doc no.:	EVA-2.9-OS-CE7-01	Issue:	version 3.1	Date:	6 Jun 2012	2	
http://www.dedicated-systems.com Email: info@dedicated-systems.com			\\ \/			BCI		
			<i>,</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	S EMBI				
		C	DM	IPACT 7	7.0			
s document may be Dedicated Systems	© Copyright Dedicated Systems Experts NV. All rights reserved, no part of the contents of this document may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means without the written permission of Dedicated Systems Experts NV, Diepenbeemd 5, B-1650 Beersel, Belgium. Authors: Luc Perneel (1, 2), Hasan Fayyad-Kazan (2) and Martin Timmerman (1, 2, 3) 1: Dedicated Systems Experts, 2: VUB-Brussels, 3: RMA-Brussels							
© Copyright Dedicated Systems Experts. All rights reserved, no part of the contents of this d reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means without the written permission of Dec	result cann for l	s, Dedicated Systems of be liable for any in oss of business, prof led in this report, eve	s Experi cidenta fits or th en if the	Disclaimer to obtain correct info ts, VUB-Brussels, RM I or consequential dar ne like) arising out of t se organisations and sibility of such damag	A-Bruss nages (he use authors	sels and th including of the info	e authors damages ormation	
licated System: ansmitted in an			-	v.dedicated-systems.c @dedicated-systems.				
© Copyright Dec reproduced or tr				Embedded Compact 7.0			Page 1 of 55	



© Copyright Dedicated Systems Experts. All rights reserved, no part of the contents of this document may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means without the written permission of Dedicated Systems



RTOS Evaluation Project

Doc no.: EVA-2.9-OS-CE7-01

Issue: version 3.1

Date: 6 Jun 2012

EVALUATION REPORT LICENSE

This is a legal agreement between you (the downloader of this document) and/or your company and the company DEDICATED SYSTEMS EXPERTS NV, Diepenbeemd 5, B-1650 Beersel, Belgium. It is not possible to download this document without registering and accepting this agreement on-line.

- 1. **GRANT**. Subject to the provisions contained herein, Dedicated Systems Experts hereby grants you a nonexclusive license to use its accompanying proprietary evaluation report for projects where you or your company are involved as major contractor or subcontractor. You are not entitled to support or telephone assistance in connection with this license.
- 2. **PRODUCT**. Dedicated Systems Experts shall furnish the evaluation report to you electronically via Internet. This license does not grant you any right to any enhancement or update to the document.
- 3. TITLE. Title, ownership rights, and intellectual property rights in and to the document shall remain in Dedicated Systems Experts and/or its suppliers or evaluated product manufacturers. The copyright laws of Belgium and all international copyright treaties protect the documents.
- 4. **CONTENT**. Title, ownership rights, and an intellectual property right in and to the content accessed through the document is the property of the applicable content owner and may be protected by applicable copyright or other law. This License gives you no rights to such content.

5. YOU CANNOT:

- You cannot, make (or allow anyone else make) copies, whether digital, printed, photographic or others, except for backup reasons. The number of copies should be limited to 2. The copies should be exact replicates of the original (in paper or electronic format) with all copyright notices and logos.
- You cannot, place (or allow anyone else place) the evaluation report on an electronic board or other form of on line service without authorization.
- 6. INDEMNIFICATION. You agree to indemnify and hold harmless Dedicated Systems Experts against any damages or liability of any kind arising from any use of this product other than the permitted uses specified in this agreement.
- 7. DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTY. All documents published by Dedicated Systems Experts on the World Wide Web Server or by any other means are provided "AS IS" WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, OR NON-INFRINGEMENT. This disclaimer of warranty constitutes an essential part of the agreement.
- 8. LIMITATION OF LIABILITY. Neither Dedicated Systems Experts nor any of its directors, employees, partners or agents shall, under any circumstances, be liable to any person for any special, incidental, indirect or consequential damages, including, without limitation, damages resulting from use of OR RELIANCE ON the INFORMATION presented, loss of profits or revenues or costs of replacement goods, even if informed in advance of the possibility of such damages.
- 9. ACCURACY OF INFORMATION. Every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information presented herein. However Dedicated Systems Experts assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of the information. Product information is subject to change without notice. Changes, if any, will be incorporated in new editions of these publications. Dedicated Systems Experts may make improvements and/or changes in the products and/or the programs described in these publications at any time without notice. Mention of non-Dedicated Systems Experts products or services is for information purposes only and constitutes neither an endorsement nor a recommendation.
- 10. JURISDICTION. In case of any problems, the court of BRUSSELS-BELGIUM will have exclusive jurisdiction.

Agreed by downloading the document via the internet.

Windows Embedded Compact 7.0

) De	dicated Systems • Experts		RTOS E	valuation	Project
	no.:	EVA-2.9-OS-CE7-01	Issue:	version 3.1	Date:	6 Jun 2012
1	Docu	ment Intention				6
ī	1.1	Purpose and scope				6
		Document issue: the 2.9 fr				
	1.3	Conventions				6
	1.4	Related documents				
2	Intro	duction				
	2.1	Overview				9
		1.1 Windows Embedded				
		Evaluated (RTOS) product				
	2.3	Supported CPU				
3	Evalu	uation results summary				
		Positive points				
	3.2	Negative points (see Micro	soft's con	nments in section 3.4)	
	3.3	Ratings				
	3.4	Vendor Comments				
4	Insta	llation and BSPs				
	4.1	Installation				
		1.1 Installation on Host				
		1.2 Installation on target				
2	4.2	Board support package (B	SP)			
5	4.	2.1 Creating custom BSP	s / drivers			
	4.3	Software development kit (SDK)			
5	Tech	inical evaluation				
5	5.1	OS Architecture				
	5.	1.1 Lask Handling Method	1			
	5.	.1.2 Memory Architecture				24
5	5.	1.3 Interrupt Handling				27
		1.4 Synchronisation mech				
		API richness				
		2.1 Task Management				
		2.2 Clock and Timer				
		2.3 Memory Management 2.4 Interrupt Handling				
		.2.5 Synchronization and E				
5		.2.6 Communication and N				
		Documentation	-	• •		
5		OS Configuration				
		.4.1 OS boot options				
	5.	4.2 OS configuration optic	ons			44
	5.	4.3 BSPs				
			Windows	s Embedded Compa	ct 7.0	Page 3 of s

imail: info@dedicated-systems.com	<u>/</u> De	edicate		vstem Experts			R	TOS	Evalu	uation	Proje	ect	
	oc no.:	EVA-2	.9-OS-	CE7-01	ls	sue:	versio	on 3.1		Date:	6 Jun 2	012	
Email: info@dedi	5.5 5.6 5.7 6 App	5.4.4 De Internet Develop Support endix A:	vice dri compo oment t Vendo	onents ools r comme							6 Jun 2		48 49 53 54
reproduced or transmitted in any form of by any means without the written permission of Dedicated Systems		Ċ											



P Dedicated	Systems
<u>-</u>	Experts

RTOS Evaluation Project

EVA-2.9-OS-CE7-01 Doc no.:

version 3.1 Issue:

6 Jun 2012 Date:

Document Intention 1

1.1 Purpose and scope

This document presents the qualitative evaluation results of the Windows Embedded Compact 7 operating system. The testing results of this operating system employed on an x86 processors can be found on our website. (www.dedicated-systems.com).

The layout and the content of this report follow the one depicted in "The evaluation test report definition" [Doc. 3] and "The OS evaluation template" [Doc. 4]. See section 1.4 of this document for more detailed references. Therefore these documents have to be seen as an integral part of this report!

Due to the tightly coupling between these documents, the framework version of "The evaluation test report definition" has to match the framework version of this evaluation report (which is 2.9). More information about the documents and tests versions together with their corresponding relation can be found in "The evaluation framework" see [Doc. 1] in section 1.4 of this document.

1.2 Document issue: the 2.9 framework

This document shows the results in the scope of the evaluation framework 2.9.

1.3 Conventions

Throughout this document, we use certain typographical conventions to distinguish technical terms. Our used conventions are the following:

- Bold Italic for OS Objects •••
- Bold for Libraries, packets, directories, software, OSs... *
- Courier New for system calls (APIs...) ÷

5	Dedicated	Systems
	7	Experts

RTOS Evaluation Project

Doc no.: EVA-2.9-OS-CE7-01

Issue: version 3.1

Date: 6 Jun 2012

2 Introduction

2.1 Overview

Releasing a new OS with a different name (changed from **Windows CE** to **Windows Compact 7**) does not mean that we are up with a new OS! Such naming change was mainly done for marketing purposes, as there were no fundamental changes in the OS itself!

Luckily, Microsoft continued using the same criteria for numbering its new releases. In this case, the current release that we are evaluating (**Compact 7**) can be considered as being **CE 7.0** version. This step was a lucky shot from Microsoft, as their current desktop operating system (**Windows 7**) is getting a positive feedback from the market, so they used the same version number for their embedded OS release.

A more confusing fact is the existence of a similar named OS called **Windows Embedded Standard 7**. However, this **Standard 7** OS has nothing in common with **Compact 7**. It is a special version of the **Windows 7** desktop operating system and as such it has nothing to do with real time and so cannot be used for real-time purposes!

Further in the document, the full name "Windows Embedded Compact 7" or the short name "Compact 7" will be used.

2.1.1 Windows Embedded Compact

Fundamentally, looking in the OS internals, these are the major changes between **Compact 7** and its predecessor **CE6R3**:

- Compact 7 kernel supports now multi-core architectures and thus has SMP functionalities.
- Compact 7 now supports up to 3GB physical RAM (which was limited to 512MB in CE6R3).
- Microsoft has also redesigned their heap manager to reduce memory fragmentation compared with CE6. This is surely important for long time running devices (without reboot), which is typical for embedded systems.
- The possibility to use Address Space Location Randomization: this will adapt randomly the addresses
 of the DLL loaded functions to the application. As such, a hacker cannot know how to jump to a library
 routine.

Beside these low-level changes, there were some improvement in the cryptographic support, and a new security loader was created for verifying installed modules (keys, certification...).







PDedicated	Systems
<u></u>	- Experts

RTOS Evaluation Project

Doc no.: EVA-2.9-OS-CE7-01

Issue: version 3.1

Date: 6 Jun 2012

I: into@c

3 Evaluation results summary

Following is a summary of the results of evaluating **Windows Embedded Compact 7**, released by Microsoft Corporation, Inc.

3.1 Positive points

- 1) All protection primitives use priority inheritance, which is a major plus for achieving realtime behavior
- 2) Good debugging tools: Available also for kernel/driver debugging.
- 3) Very easy to install and to set-up a target (from templates).
- 4) Provides the same flexibility as a 32-bit general purpose OS

3.2 Negative points (see Microsoft's comments in section 3.4)

- 1) The operating system documentation has taken a step backwards compared with the previous versions. A lot of background information is removed (*see MS comments*).
- 2) Customizing the kernel and adding custom drivers (BSP) stays a daunting task once you go away from the default configurations.
- 3) The remote tool has been changed since last version. We noticed two issues, the more important of which is that there is no officially-supported method to include the remote tools within a device image using Platform Builder. Additionally, we noticed during our testing that establishing a connection between the tools and the target took in excess of a minute, which was longer than our expectation (*see MS comments*).

3.3 Ratings

For a description of the ratings, see [Doc. 3]

	Page	e 11 of 55		
Support	0		8	10
Installation and BSP	0		8	10
Development Tools	0		8	10
Internet Components	0		9	10
OS Configuration	0	7		10
OS Documentation	0	6		10
RTOS Architecture	0		8	10





Doc no.: EVA-2.9-OS-CE7-01

Issue: version 3.1

```
Date: 6 Jun 2012
```

3.4 Vendor Comments

Following are the comments of Microsoft on the negative points:

- For point 1 Microsoft notes that documentation is a focus for the next release, and the product team plans to bring forward any relevant content from earlier releases, which will be identified as still applicable to the current release.
- For point 3 Microsoft notes that the ability to add the remote tools to a device image using Platform Builder is by design, as generally a finished device's final image would not normally include debug support. Additionally, because some devices won't have a .CAB installer, making installation of the remote tools a challenge. They are investigating now how to provide this support in a future release of Platform Builder. Microsoft also notes that the Compact Product Team was unable to reproduce the delayed connection time experienced by Dedicated Systems but will continue to investigate whether connection time is a persistent issue.