

Doc: **EVA-2.9-TST-CE7-x86-01**

Issue: **4.1 on 6-Jun-2012**

Tests Date: **May - June ,2011**

## Behavior and performance evaluation of Windows Embedded Compact 7 on x86

---

### Copyright

© Copyright Dedicated Systems Experts NV. All rights reserved, no part of the contents of this document may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means without the written permission of Dedicated Systems Experts NV, Diepenbeemd 5, B-1650 Beersel, Belgium.

### Disclaimer

Although all care has been taken to obtain correct information and accurate test results, Dedicated Systems Experts, VUB-Brussels, RMA-Brussels and the authors cannot be liable for any incidental or consequential damages (including damages for loss of business, profits or the like) arising out of the use of the information provided in this report, even if these organizations and authors have been advised of the possibility of such damages.

---

### Authors

Luc Perneel (1, 2), Hasan Fayyad-Kazan(2) and Martin Timmerman (1, 2, 3)  
1: Dedicated Systems Experts, 2: VUB-Brussels, 3: RMA-Brussels

---

<http://download.dedicated-systems.com>

E-mail: [info@dedicated-systems.com](mailto:info@dedicated-systems.com)

Doc: **EVA-2.9-TST-CE7-x86-01**

Issue: **4.1 on 6-Jun-2012**

Tests Date: **May - June ,2011**

## EVALUATION REPORT LICENSE

This is a legal agreement between you (the downloader of this document) and/or your company and the company DEDICATED SYSTEMS EXPERTS NV, Diepenbeemd 5, B-1650 Beersel, Belgium.  
It is not possible to download this document without registering and accepting this agreement on-line.

1. **GRANT.** Subject to the provisions contained herein, Dedicated Systems Experts hereby grants you a non-exclusive license to use its accompanying proprietary evaluation report for projects where you or your company are involved as major contractor or subcontractor. You are not entitled to support or telephone assistance in connection with this license.
2. **PRODUCT.** Dedicated Systems Experts shall furnish the evaluation report to you electronically via Internet. This license does not grant you any right to any enhancement or update to the document.
3. **TITLE.** Title, ownership rights, and intellectual property rights in and to the document shall remain in Dedicated Systems Experts and/or its suppliers or evaluated product manufacturers. The copyright laws of Belgium and all international copyright treaties protect the documents.
4. **CONTENT.** Title, ownership rights, and an intellectual property right in and to the content accessed through the document is the property of the applicable content owner and may be protected by applicable copyright or other law. This License gives you no rights to such content.
5. **YOU CANNOT:**
  - You cannot, make (or allow anyone else make) copies, whether digital, printed, photographic or others, except for backup reasons. The number of copies should be limited to 2. The copies should be exact replicates of the original (in paper or electronic format) with all copyright notices and logos.
  - You cannot, place (or allow anyone else place) the evaluation report on an electronic board or other form of on line service without authorisation.
6. **INDEMNIFICATION.** You agree to indemnify and hold harmless Dedicated Systems Experts against any damages or liability of any kind arising from any use of this product other than the permitted uses specified in this agreement.
7. **DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTY.** All documents published by Dedicated Systems Experts on the World Wide Web Server or by any other means are provided "AS IS" WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, OR NON-INFRINGEMENT. This disclaimer of warranty constitutes an essential part of the agreement.
8. **LIMITATION OF LIABILITY.** Neither Dedicated Systems Experts nor any of its directors, employees, partners or agents shall, under any circumstances, be liable to any person for any special, incidental, indirect or consequential damages, including, without limitation, damages resulting from use of OR RELIANCE ON the INFORMATION presented, loss of profits or revenues or costs of replacement goods, even if informed in advance of the possibility of such damages.
9. **ACCURACY OF INFORMATION.** Every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information presented herein. However Dedicated Systems Experts assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of the information. Product information is subject to change without notice. Changes, if any, will be incorporated in new editions of these publications. Dedicated Systems Experts may make improvements and/or changes in the products and/or the programs described in these publications at any time without notice. Mention of non-Dedicated Systems Experts products or services is for information purposes only and constitutes neither an endorsement nor a recommendation.
10. **JURISDICTION.** In case of any problems, the court of BRUSSELS-BELGIUM will have exclusive jurisdiction.

**Agreed by downloading the document via the internet.**

1	Document Intention.....	6
1.1	Purpose and scope .....	6
1.2	Test framework used: 2.9.....	6
1.3	Conventions .....	6
	Related documents .....	7
2	Introduction .....	8
2.1	Overview .....	8
2.2	Evaluated (RTOS) Product .....	8
2.2.1	Software .....	8
2.2.2	Hardware .....	8
3	Evaluation results summary.....	10
3.1	Positive points .....	10
3.2	Negative points (see Microsoft's comments in section 3.4).....	10
3.3	Ratings .....	10
3.4	Vendor Comments .....	11
4	Test Results .....	12
4.1	Calibration system test (CAL) .....	12
4.1.1	Tracing overhead (CAL-P-TRC).....	12
4.1.2	CPU power (CAL-P-CPU) .....	13
4.2	Clock tests (CLK) .....	15
4.2.1	Operating system clock setting (CLK-B-CFG) .....	15
4.2.2	Clock tick processing duration (CLK-P-DUR) .....	15
4.3	Thread tests (THR) .....	17
4.3.1	Thread creation behaviour (THR-B-NEW) .....	18
4.3.2	Round robin behaviour (THR-B-RR) .....	18
4.3.3	Thread switch latency between same priority threads (THR-P-SLS).....	19
4.3.4	Thread creation and deletion time (THR-P-NEW).....	22
4.4	Semaphore tests (SEM).....	26
4.4.1	Semaphore locking test mechanism (SEM-B-LCK) .....	26
4.4.2	Semaphore releasing mechanism (SEM-B-REL).....	27
4.4.3	Time needed to create and delete a semaphore (SEM-P-NEW).....	27
4.4.4	Test acquire-release timings: non-contention case (SEM-P-ARN).....	30
4.4.5	Test acquire-release timings: contention case (SEM-P-ARC) .....	31
4.5	Mutex tests (MUT).....	34
4.5.1	Priority inversion avoidance mechanism (MUT-B-ARC) .....	35
4.5.2	Mutex acquire-release timings: contention case (MUT-P-ARC) .....	35
4.5.3	Mutex acquire-release timings: non-contention case (MUT-P-ARN) .....	37
4.6	Interrupt tests (IRQ) .....	39
4.6.1	Interrupt latency (IRQ_P_LAT).....	39
4.6.2	Interrupt to thread latency (IRQ_P_TLT).....	40
4.6.3	Maximum sustained interrupt frequency (IRQ_S_SUS).....	41

Doc: **EVA-2.9-TST-CE7-x86-01**

Issue: **4.1 on 6-Jun-2012**

Tests Date: **May - June ,2011**

4.7	Memory tests.....	42
4.7.1	Memory leak test (MEM_B_LEK).....	42
5	Appendix A: Vendor comments .....	43
6	Appendix B: Acronyms .....	44

SAMPLE

## 1 Document Intention

### 1.1 Purpose and scope

This document presents the quantitative evaluation results of the **Windows Embedded Compact 7 OS** on x86-based platform.

The layout of this report follows the one depicted in “The OS evaluation template” [Doc. 4]. The test specifications can be found in “The evaluation test report definition” [Doc. 3]. For more detailed references, See section “Related documents” of this document. These documents have to be seen as an integral part of this report!

Due to the tightly coupling between these documents, the framework version of “The evaluation test report definition” has to match the framework version of this evaluation report (which is 2.9). More information about the documents and tests versions together with their corresponding relation between both can be found in “The evaluation framework”, see [Doc. 1] in section “Related documents” of this document.

The generic test code used to perform these tests can be downloaded on our website by using the link in the “related documents” section.

### 1.2 Test framework used: 2.9

This document shows the test results in the scope of the evaluation framework 2.9. More details about this framework are found in Doc 1 (see section “Related documents”).

### 1.3 Conventions

Throughout this document, we use certain typographical conventions to distinguish technical terms. Our used conventions are the following:

- ❖ ***Bold Italic*** for OS Objects
- ❖ **Bold** for Libraries, packets, directories, software, OSs...
- ❖ `Courier New` for system calls (APIs...)

## 2 Introduction

This chapter talks about the OS that we are going to test and evaluate, and the hardware on which the under testing OS will be employed to be tested.

For a more in depth discussion about the positive and negative points, the reader should also read the theoretical evaluation report.

### 2.1 Overview

Releasing a new OS with a different name (changed from **Windows CE** to **Windows Embedded Compact 7**) does not mean that we are up with a new OS! Such naming change was mainly done for marketing purposes, as there were no fundamental changes in the OS itself!

Further in the document, the full name “**Windows Embedded Compact 7**” or the short names “**Compact 7**” and “**CE7**” will be used.

### 2.2 Evaluated (RTOS) Product

This section describes the OS that Dedicated Systems tested using their Evaluation Testing Suite, and the hardware on which this OS was running during the testing.

#### 2.2.1 Software

The RTOS that will be evaluated and tested is **Windows Embedded Compact 7**. This OS was launched by Microsoft Corporation at the beginning of 2011. In fact, this OS “**Windows Embedded Compact**” is the successor of **Windows CE6R3**.

The tests for evaluating this OS were done in March 2011 which is the date when this OS was released as a manufacture release.

#### 2.2.2 Hardware

The hardware used for testing this OS version is Pentium MMX 200MHz platform. Indeed, it is an old platform but with such platform, the performance can be compared for over a decade.

As **Compact7** does not run anymore on the Pentium MMX, we had to choose a more recent CPU for our tests. Pentium II running at 233MHz was chosen. Besides that it has a little higher clock frequency, it has also a 512KB L2 cache compared with the previous generation Pentium MMX (which has none).

Doc: **EVA-2.9-TST-CE7-x86-01**

Issue: **4.1 on 6-Jun-2012**

Tests Date: **May - June ,2011**

All the tests were executed on hardware with the following characteristics:

- Motherboard: Intel AL440LX with a 66MHz PCI bus
- BIOS: 4A4LL0X0.86A.0031.P14
- CPU: Intel Pentium II 233 MHz (with 16KB Data and 16KB Instruction L1 Cache). 512KB L2 Cache.
- RAM: 192 MB
- Network interface card: The Realtek RTL8139C(L)
- VMETRO PCI exerciser in PCI slot 3 (PCI interrupt level D, local bus interrupt level 10)
- VMETRO PBT-315 PCI analyser in PCI slot 4.
- External and CPU internal cache was enabled during the tests.

SAMPLE

## 3 Evaluation results summary

Following is a summary of the results of evaluating **Windows Embedded Compact 7**, released by Microsoft Corporation, Inc.

### 3.1 Positive points

- 1) All protection primitives use priority inheritance, which is a major plus for achieving real-time behavior
- 2) Good debugging tools: Available also for kernel/driver debugging.
- 3) Very easy to install and to set-up a target (from templates).
- 4) Provides the same flexibility as a 32-bit general purpose OS

### 3.2 Negative points (see Microsoft's comments in section 3.4)

- 1) The operating system documentation has taken a step backwards compared with the previous versions. A lot of background information is removed (*see MS comments*).
- 2) Customizing the kernel and adding custom drivers (BSP) stays a daunting task once you go away from the default configurations.
- 3) The remote tool has been changed since last version. We noticed two issues, the more important of which is that there is no officially-supported method to include the remote tools within a device image using Platform Builder. Additionally, we noticed during our testing that establishing a connection between the tools and the target took in excess of a minute, which was longer than our expectation (*see MS comments*).

### 3.3 Ratings

For a description of the ratings, see [Doc. 3].

RTOS Architecture	0		8	10
OS Documentation	0		6	10
OS Configuration	0		7	10
Internet Components	0		9	10
Development Tools	0		8	10
Installation and BSP	0		8	10
Support	0		8	10

Doc: EVA-2.9-TST-CE7-x86-01

Issue: 4.1 on 6-Jun-2012

Tests Date: May - June ,2011

## 3.4 Vendor Comments

Following are the comments of Microsoft on the negative points:

- **For point 1** Microsoft notes that documentation is a focus for the next release, and the product team plans to bring forward any relevant content from earlier releases, which will be identified as still applicable to the current release.
- **For point 3** Microsoft notes that the ability to add the remote tools to a device image using Platform Builder is by design, as generally a finished device's final image would not normally include debug support. Additionally, because some devices won't have a .CAB installer, making installation of the remote tools a challenge. They are investigating now how to provide this support in a future release of Platform Builder. Microsoft also notes that the Compact Product Team was unable to reproduce the delayed connection time experienced by Dedicated Systems but will continue to investigate whether connection time is a persistent issue.

SAMPLE