

Behavior and performance evaluation of Android Linux 3.0.4 on ARM

Copyright

© Copyright Dedicated Systems Experts NV. All rights reserved, no part of the contents of this document may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means without the written permission of Dedicated Systems Experts NV, Diepenbeemd 5, B-1650 Beersel, Belgium.

Disclaimer

Although all care has been taken to obtain correct information and accurate test results, Dedicated Systems Experts, VUB-Brussels, RMA-Brussels and the authors cannot be liable for any incidental or consequential damages (including damages for loss of business, profits or the like) arising out of the use of the information provided in this report, even if these organizations and authors have been advised of the possibility of such damages.

Authors

Luc Perneel (1, 2), Hasan Fayyad-Kazan(2) and Martin Timmerman (1, 2, 3)
1: Dedicated Systems Experts, 2: VUB-Brussels, 3: RMA-Brussels

<http://download.dedicated-systems.com>

E-mail: info@dedicated-systems.com

EVALUATION REPORT LICENSE

This is a legal agreement between you (the downloader of this document) and/or your company and the company DEDICATED SYSTEMS EXPERTS NV, Diepenbeemd 5, B-1650 Beersel, Belgium.
It is not possible to download this document without registering and accepting this agreement on-line.

1. **GRANT.** Subject to the provisions contained herein, Dedicated Systems Experts hereby grants you a non-exclusive license to use its accompanying proprietary evaluation report for projects where you or your company are involved as major contractor or subcontractor. You are not entitled to support or telephone assistance in connection with this license.
2. **PRODUCT.** Dedicated Systems Experts shall furnish the evaluation report to you electronically via Internet. This license does not grant you any right to any enhancement or update to the document.
3. **TITLE.** Title, ownership rights, and intellectual property rights in and to the document shall remain in Dedicated Systems Experts and/or its suppliers or evaluated product manufacturers. The copyright laws of Belgium and all international copyright treaties protect the documents.
4. **CONTENT.** Title, ownership rights, and an intellectual property right in and to the content accessed through the document is the property of the applicable content owner and may be protected by applicable copyright or other law. This License gives you no rights to such content.
5. **YOU CANNOT:**
 - You cannot, make (or allow anyone else make) copies, whether digital, printed, photographic or others, except for backup reasons. The number of copies should be limited to 2. The copies should be exact replicates of the original (in paper or electronic format) with all copyright notices and logos.
 - You cannot, place (or allow anyone else place) the evaluation report on an electronic board or other form of on line service without authorization.
6. **INDEMNIFICATION.** You agree to indemnify and hold harmless Dedicated Systems Experts against any damages or liability of any kind arising from any use of this product other than the permitted uses specified in this agreement.
7. **DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTY.** All documents published by Dedicated Systems Experts on the World Wide Web Server or by any other means are provided "AS IS" WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, OR NON-INFRINGEMENT. This disclaimer of warranty constitutes an essential part of the agreement.
8. **LIMITATION OF LIABILITY.** Neither Dedicated Systems Experts nor any of its directors, employees, partners or agents shall, under any circumstances, be liable to any person for any special, incidental, indirect or consequential damages, including, without limitation, damages resulting from use of OR RELIANCE ON the INFORMATION presented, loss of profits or revenues or costs of replacement goods, even if informed in advance of the possibility of such damages.
9. **ACCURACY OF INFORMATION.** Every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information presented herein. However Dedicated Systems Experts assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of the information. Product information is subject to change without notice. Changes, if any, will be incorporated in new editions of these publications. Dedicated Systems Experts may make improvements and/or changes in the products and/or the programs described in these publications at any time without notice. Mention of non-Dedicated Systems Experts products or services is for information purposes only and constitutes neither an endorsement nor a recommendation.
10. **JURISDICTION.** In case of any problems, the court of BRUSSELS-BELGIUM will have exclusive jurisdiction.

Agreed by downloading the document via the internet.

1	Document Intention.....	5
1.1	Purpose and scope	5
1.2	Test framework used: 2.9.....	5
1.3	Conventions	5
2	Introduction	7
2.1	Overview	7
2.2	Evaluated (RTOS) product.....	7
2.2.1	Software.....	7
2.2.2	Hardware	8
3	Evaluation results summary.....	9
3.1	Positive points	9
3.2	Negative points.....	9
3.3	Ratings	10
4	Test Results	11
4.1	Calibration system test (CAL)	11
4.1.1	Tracing overhead (CAL-P-TRC).....	11
4.1.2	CPU power (CAL-P-CPU)	12
4.2	Clock tests (CLK)	14
4.2.1	Operating system clock setting (CLK-B-CFG).....	14
4.2.2	Clock tick processing duration (CLK-P-DUR).....	14
4.3	Thread tests (THR).....	17
4.3.1	Thread creation behaviour (THR-B-NEW)	18
4.3.2	Round robin behaviour (THR-B-RR)	18
4.3.3	Thread switch latency between same priority threads (THR-P-SLS).....	19
4.3.4	Thread creation and deletion time (THR-P-NEW).....	22
4.4	Semaphore tests (SEM).....	25
4.4.1	Semaphore locking test mechanism (SEM-B-LCK)	25
4.4.2	Semaphore releasing mechanism (SEM-B-REL).....	26
4.4.3	Time needed to create and delete a semaphore (SEM-P-NEW)	26
4.4.4	Test acquire-release timings: non-contention case (SEM-P-ARN)	29
4.4.5	Test acquire-release timings: contention case (SEM-P-ARC)	31
4.5	Mutex tests (MUT).....	35
4.5.1	Priority inversion avoidance mechanism (MUT-B-ARC)	35
4.5.2	Mutex acquire-release timings: contention case (MUT-P-ARC)	36
4.5.3	Mutex acquire-release timings: non-contention case (MUT-P-ARN)	38
4.6	Interrupt tests (IRQ).....	40
4.6.1	Interrupt latency (IRQ_P_LAT)	40
4.6.2	Interrupt dispatch latency (IRQ_P_DLT)	41
4.6.3	Interrupt to thread latency (IRQ_P_TLT).....	42
4.6.4	Maximum sustained interrupt frequency (IRQ_S_SUS).....	43
5	Support.....	46
6	Appendix B: Acronyms.....	47

1 Document Intention

1.1 Purpose and scope

This document presents the quantitative evaluation results of the real-time **Linux** operating system, but as configured for Android, on an ARM-based platform.

The layout of this report follows the one depicted in “The OS evaluation template” [Doc. 4]. The test specifications can be found in “The evaluation test report definition” [Doc. 3]. For more detailed references, see section “Related documents” in this document. These documents have to be seen as an integral part of this report!

Due to the tightly coupling between these documents, the framework version of “The evaluation test report definition” has to match the framework version of this evaluation report (which is 2.9). More information about the documents and tests versions together with their corresponding relation between both can be found in “The evaluation framework”, see [Doc. 1] in section “Related documents” of this document.

The generic test code used to perform these tests can be downloaded on our website by using the link in the related documents section.

1.2 Test framework used: 2.9

This document shows the test results in the scope of the evaluation framework 2.9. More details about this framework are found in Doc 1 (see section “Related documents”).

1.3 Conventions

Throughout this document, we use certain typographical conventions to distinguish technical terms. Our used conventions are the following:

- ❖ ***Bold Italic*** for OS Objects
- ❖ **Bold** for Libraries, packets, directories, software, OSs...
- ❖ `Courier New` for system calls (APIs...)

2 Introduction

This chapter talks about: 1) the OS that we are going to test and evaluate, 2) the library used for interaction between the testing applications and the kernel, 3) the hardware on which the under testing OS will be employed.

2.1 Overview

The evaluation project started in 1995 and as such accumulates a long experience with different (RT) OS. Today more and more embedded systems are equipped with **Linux** solutions using more or less real-time variants.

Recently, Google started with its Android phones and tablets. The advantage of Android is the availability of tools for making graphical user interfaces. All this is based on a Java virtual machine and thus hardware independent from the application level of view.

Now, what would happen if you would use for instance an Android system as a SCADA control system to control some machinery? The GUI would of course be a plus, but what would be the timing behavior?

Of course, Android is not meant to be used for any real-time purposes at all. It is even built to consume as less power as possible as it is used for handheld devices. We know that power management is an evil for good timing behavior! But even, we still wanted to compare it with a Linux RT_PREEMPT system on the same platform to clearly see the impact of kernel configuration choices made for Android and the ones used by the RT_PREEMPT patch.

2.2 Evaluated (RTOS) product

This section describes the OS that Dedicated Systems tested using their Evaluation Testing Suite, and the hardware on which this OS was running during the testing.

2.2.1 Software

The operating system OS that will be evaluated is **Linux** 3.0.4 as used by the Linaro Android build for the Beagle XM platform. We used the following build: Linaro Android Beagle 11.09 release, build 4.

We deliberately did not adapt kernel configuration settings but used them as delivered.

2.2.1.1 Android

Android is based on Linux (as it uses a Linux kernel). However, a lot of customization on the libraries, root file system and available applications are done making an Android version much different than any traditional Linux system.

Remark that Android is not meant to be used for developing in C code. Everything is built around java. The Android development tools (Android SDK) are all focused to develop “smart apps” using the Java virtual machine. However, Android provides as well a Native Development Kit (Android NDK) which can be used to develop C/C++ applications.

But the Android NDK is not meant to be used for developing pure C/C++ applications. They are meant for developing libraries which provide some interfaces towards the java environment. So their purpose is to have some acceleration for dedicated algorithms instead. Thus, this puts already some constraints on what you can build natively for Android.

Another important difference relates to the C-libraries deployed with Android. Google wanted to isolate Android based applications from the GPL license. Therefore, neither the glibc nor the μ Clibc libraries can be used. Instead, they developed one themselves starting from the BSD code (using the BSD license, a truly free license as used for instance by FreeBSD and OpenBSD). In fact, Google started to build its C-libraries with a branch from the OpenBSD libraries source code. These C-libraries provided for the Android system are called the “Bionic Libc”.

However, Bionic does not have all the features that are already in the traditional glibc implementation. Looking at the features required for real-time behavior, we notice the lack of priority inheritance mutexes. Although they are available in the kernel, you can access them only by building your own library above the Linux system calls...

Clearly, Android is intended to be deployed on tablets and smartphones, and not on other embedded usages. But we are still interested in the OS and that’s why we test it here.

2.2.2 Hardware

The hardware that was used for executing our tests on the Linaro Android operating system was a Beagle-XM Board Rev C with following characteristics:

- based on the Texas Instruments DM3730 Digital Media Processor
- ARM Cortex A8 running at 1GHz
- L1 Cache: 32KB instruction and 32KB data cache
- L2 Cache: 64KB
- 512MB RAM at 166MHz

3 Evaluation results summary

Remember that the tested and evaluated product is an Android version, which is focused for smart phones and tablets. Thus, it is logic that it does not fit for real-time systems. However, due to the use of their proprietary bionic C library, it is even worse than expected!

First of all, Bionic does not have priority inversion protection mechanisms available on mutexes, which is an obligatory requirement to fulfill real-time requirements. Second, the semaphore implementation behaves badly: it is implemented as purely FIFO queued one (without any prioritization); further, release times are going straight through the roof once multiple threads are blocked on the same semaphore!

Finally, the bionic library contains only a limited subset of the glibc libraries. As such, a lot of open source C/C++ applications cannot be built for Android!

Conclusion is that Android should only be used where it is designed for: building Java GUI applications!

3.1 Positive points







- No license fees
- Source code available

3.2 Negative points

- No real-time characteristics at all!
- Not meant to be used for any C/C++ applications.
- **Bionic C library**, badly implemented semaphores and mutexes.

3.3 Ratings

For a description of the ratings, see [Doc. 3].

RTOS Architecture (+libraries)	0		10
OS Documentation	0		10
OS Configuration	0		10
Internet Components	0		10
Development Tools (C/C++)	0		10
Installation and BSP	0		10
Test Results	0	0	10
Support	0	N.A.	10

Although [Doc. 3] gives a description of the ratings, comparison with other reports on other OS should help you understand the scoring.

SAMPLE