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1 Document Intention

1.1 Purpose and scope

This document presents the quantitative evaluation results of the real-time Linux operating system (Linux with its real-time patches). The testing results of this operating system employed on an x86 processors can be found on our website. (www.dedicated-systems.com)

The layout of this report follows the one depicted in “The OS evaluation template” [Doc. 4]. The test specifications can be found in “The evaluation test report definition” [Doc. 3]. See section 0 of this document for more detailed references. These documents have to be seen as an integral part of this report!

Due to the tightly coupling between these documents, the framework version of "The evaluation test report definition" has to match the framework version of this evaluation report (which is 2.9). More information about the documents and tests versions together with their corresponding relation, between both can be found in “The evaluation framework”, see [Doc. 1] in section 0 of this document.

The generic test code used to perform these tests can be downloaded on our website by using the link in the related documents section.

1.2 Document issue: the 2.9 framework

This document shows the test results in the scope of the evaluation framework 2.9.

1.3 Conventions

Throughout this document, we use certain typographical conventions to distinguish technical terms. Our used conventions are the following:

- **Bold Italic** for OS Objects
- **Bold** for Libraries, packets, directories, software, OSs...
- **Courier New** for system calls (APIs...)
2 Introduction

This chapter talks about: 1) the OS that we are going to test and evaluate, 2) the real time patch integrated in this OS to achieve some real time performance and behaviour tests, 3) the library used for interaction between the testing applications and the kernel, 4) the hardware on which the under testing OS will be employed.

2.1 Overview

The evaluation project started in 1995 and as such accumulates a long experience with different (RT) OS. Today more and more embedded systems are equipped with Linux solutions using more or less real-time patches. Different vendors like MontaVista, Windriver, and Lynuxworks have now Linux variants in their product portfolio.

Since the kernel version 2.4, a lot of improvements regarding real-time behaviour found their way into the standard “Vanilla” kernel. There is a well maintained real-time patch available (both have their origins from Ingo Molnar) called RT_PREEMPT patch. Remark that some real-time features (like priority-inheritance mutexes, introduced in version 2.6.18) are already in the Vanilla kernel.

We believed that it is the time to test this kernel by our standard real-time behaviour evaluation framework and find out how well it behaves.

For this evaluation, we used the standard glibc library as the µClibc package does not include yet the Native POSIX Thread Library (NPTL). Moreover, µClibc also does not use futexes which means that it also does not have any support for priority inheritance (which must be available when considering real-time behaviour). Further µClibc uses internal protection systems (mutex, semaphores) and signals for the pthread POSIX layer, which behaves differently while compared to the usage of direct NPTL calls. From a real-time point of view, using µClibc in its current form makes the kernel real-time support unavailable in user space. It has to be said that there is an active NPTL branch in the µClibc code base. Therefore we suspect that it is only a matter of time before it will become available in the official releases.

It is a pity that the µClibc wagon is not yet on the NPTL rail. Using the buildroot, µClibc, and busybox combo makes it easier to have an embedded Linux platform with a small storage footprint. But without the NPTL support, real-time applications cannot be used in user space, unless you use direct system calls to the kernel.

Remark that the RT_PREEMPT patch degrades throughput performance which means that it should be used only when your project has low latency requirements. This is normal and a fundamental rule in real-time software: latency improvements have a negative impact on throughput and vice versa. Some quick measurements using an NFS mount stressing network and disk showed a negative throughput impact between 5 and 10% by enabling the RT_PREEMPT patch!
2.2 Evaluated (RTOS) product

2.2.1 Software

The operating system OS that will be evaluated is Vanilla Linux 2.6.33.7 with real-time patch v30. This RT patch was the latest version officially released by OSADL (the Open Source Automation Development Lab) on December 21, 2010. Being as OSDAL’s latest stable release was our main reason for testing this version. The RT patches can be found at http://www.kernel.org/pub/linux/kernel/projects/rt/.

The evaluation of this kernel version (2.6.33.7.2-rt30) was performed using several performance and behaviour tests. The testing results are applicable only to this version as other versions may have other significant performance figures and behaviour.

The library used between the testing applications and the kernel is the glibc version 2.11.1 as mentioned before. This interfacing library is important because user applications (when using POSIX calls) can access the real-time features of the kernel only if this library supports them. Otherwise, direct system calls in user space applications are needed.

2.2.2 Hardware

The operating system was tested on our standard x86 Pentium MMX evaluation platform running at 200MHz with 128MB RAM. So we can compare the results with other RTOS tested on the same platform.

This platform is already pretty old (from 1997 to be correct), but its advantage is that it makes tests comparable as we have tested all RTOS for more than a decade on this platform. Also there is no impact from BIOS interrupts (motherboard/BIOS is too old).
3 Evaluation results summary

Remember that the tested and evaluated product is Vanilla Linux 26.33.7 with RT_PREEMPt patch v30. If correctly used and configured, the RT_PREEMPt Linux system has the internals to provide some real-time characteristics.

Compared with the traditional RTOS that supports also memory protection between processes, the worst case latencies in Linux RT_PREEMPt are still around 5 to 10 times slower (depending on the RTOS you compare with). Our study and measurements show the latencies are bound and therefore this Linux version may be labelled Real-Time.

TAKE CARE: Using a wrong driver or wrong configuration can destroy real-time behaviour. You need to follow the detailed rules described in the relevant document (Doc 5).

3.1 Positive points

- No license fees
- Source code available
- Extensible

3.2 Negative points

- The real-time characteristics of the OS are present only when everything is configured and built correctly (and not for all drivers)
- GPL license is not completely free…
- Setting up a complete embedded target from scratch is a daunting task.
- uClibc, which is used a lot in embedded systems, does not have currently NTPL support and as such cannot provide real-time characteristics to the user level. Thus, glibc should be used.
3.3 Ratings

For a description of the ratings, see [Doc. 3].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTOS Architecture</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS Documentation</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS Configuration</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Components</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Tools</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation and BSP</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Results</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although [Doc. 3] gives a description of the ratings, comparison with other reports on other OS should help you understand the scoring.